



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

317

1.

CRM-M-59361-2023
Date of decision: December 10th, 2024

Sonia Rani and another

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Petitioners

.....Respondents
2.

CRM-M-5800-2020

Sonia Rani

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Petitioner

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: None for the petitioners.
Mr. Shiva Khurmi, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

- Case has been called twice, however, even on second call, none has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioners.
2.

On the previous date of hearing also, none had entered appearance on behalf of the petitioners.
3.

Learned State counsel has, on instructions, brought to the notice of this Court that the petitioners are seeking partial quashing of the FIR in question on the basis of a compromise stated to have been effected between them and the private respondents. It has been submitted by the learned State counsel that although six persons were nominated as accused in the FIR in question, four had been challaned

yet only two out of those four accused had approached this Court for quashing of the FIR in question on the basis of the purported compromise. It has been asserted by the learned State counsel that partial quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise is contrary to the ratio of law laid down by a Division Bench of this Court in *CRM-M No. 48043 of 2023* titled as *Rakesh Das Versus State of Haryana and another*, decided on 12.11.2024.

- 4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
- 5. Copy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

December 10th, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No