

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

256

**2024:PHHC:052289
CWP-26380-2023 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 18.04.2024.**

PRAVEEN AGGARWAL AND ANOTHER

..Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HARYANA VIDYUT PRASARAN NIGAM LTD. AND OTHERS

.. Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

PRESENT Mr. Rupam K. Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate for the respondents.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present writ petition is for prohibiting the respondents from installing the structures including junction of high tension/ low tension wires, panels, enclosures and other ancillary small structures in front of the shops and house of the petitioners.

A short reply through Anil Kumar, Executive Engineer, 'TS' HVPNL, Gurugram on behalf of respondent No.1 has been filed wherein it has been averred in para No.9 as under:-

“9. That the Cable has been laid as per route plan and to join the cables, a junction box is required to be constructed under the ground and there will be no hindrance for ingress and egress to anyone, as it will be covered with the concrete blocks. The Junction box will be made with concrete walls and will be covered with concrete blocks so that vehicles and passers can easily cross over it, therefore, the fear of the petitioners is totally misconceived, imaginary and non-existent.”

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners contends that in view of the averment as contained in para no.9 of the reply filed by respondent No.1, petitioners have no further subsisting grievance.

The present writ petition is accordingly disposed of as not pressed, at this stage. The respondents shall, however, remain bound by the undertaking/averments made in para no.9 of the reply.

April 18, 2024.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE
Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No