



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

131 CWP-29845-2024
Date of decision: 06.11.2024

JittaPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and Ors. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Jai Shree Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel would submit that services of the petitioner have not been regularized despite being eligible for the same. However, his juniors have been granted the said relief, vide order dated 30.06.2016 (Annexure P-5). In this regard, a legal notice dated 01.09.2024 (Annexure P-7) has been served upon the respondents, which has yet not evoked any response. She thus, at this stage, on instructions, prays that a direction be given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner.
2. Notice of motion.
3. At the asking of the Court, Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and has no objection to the limited prayer made.
4. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the

respondents to decide the legal notice dated 01.09.2024 (Annexure P-7), taking note of the aforesaid order dated 30.06.2016 (Annexure P-5), in accordance with law, expeditiously.

06.11.2024

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No