



CR-6529-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-6529-2024

Date of decision : 08.11.2024

Vardeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Dr.Pritpal Singh Gill and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Varun Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Ravi Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. Ravi Chadda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India praying for setting aside the impugned order dated 25.09.2024 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Civil Judge (Jr.Div.), Ludhiana in Execution no.10091/2024 titled as “Dr.Pritpal Singh Gill vs. Vardeep Singh & Ors.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that after the drafting of the present petition, an order dated 28.10.2024 has been passed by the Appellate Authority, Ludhiana, vide which the Appellate Authority has been pleased to dispose of the application of mesne profits as well as stay application in the following terms:-

“8. On the basis of discussion made in preceding paras appellants/tenants are directed to pay damages for use and occupation @ Rs.8,000/- per month as mesne profits to be paid



CR-6529-2024

2

by 10th every month from the date of eviction till final decision of this appeal. The appellants/tenants are directed to clear the arrears of mesne profits from the date of eviction order i.e. 04.07.2024 till date within 30 days from today and rent @ Rs.2000/- per month from January to June 2024. Respondent landlord is directed to place on file his bank account details in 7 days to the appellant who is directed to make the aforementioned payment in the same. In the meanwhile, order of eviction under challenge is stayed till the final disposal of the present appeal. With these observations, the applications under consideration are disposed of.
Announced in open Court.

Dated:28.10.2024. (Saru Mehta Kaushik)
Appellate Authority, Ludhiana (UID-B0442)
(Direct Dictated)”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, he seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to move an appropriate application before the Executing Court.
4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 08, 2024.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No