

[241] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-60327-2023
Date of Decision : 10.01.2024

Aditya ...Petitioner
versus
State of HaryanaRespondent

Coram : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present : Mr. Namit Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.0608 dated 13.06.2023 under Sections 147, 149, 307 & 506 IPC, 1860 and Section 25 (1B) (a) of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sadar, District Hisar.

[2] As per the allegations, on 12.06.2023, complainant was present at his house when 7-8 boys of Village Satrod Kalan arrived in front of his house on motor-cycles and in car. All of them raised voice and called Navdeep to come out so as to kill him. One of the boys namely Aditya Duhan (petitioner) immediately upon alighting in the street, opened fired at him with an intention to kill, by mistaking the complainant to be Navdeep by standing in the front of his gate.

[3] Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and so he be allowed bail.

[4] Notice of motion.

[5] Mr. Sumit Jain, learned Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

[6] Learned State Counsel has pointed out that apart from the direct attribution to the petitioner, the details of the cases against the petitioner mentioned in para No.10 of the petition would reveal that he is involved in 05 other cases, 02 of which involve Section 307 IPC and 01 of which involved Sections 392 and 397 IPC.

[7] Having regard to the role attributed to the petitioner and his criminal antecedents, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioner.

[8] Dismissed.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

10.01.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No