



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-58995-2023

Date of decision: 23.05.2024

Gurwinder Singh Dhindsa

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.41 dated 01.03.2023 under Sections 408/120-B/420/467/468/471 of the IPC (Section 212 of the IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Division No.6, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

2. On 07.03.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel has reiterated his submissions, which were made on the last date of hearing. It has still further been submitted that during trial the most material witness i.e. the complainant had not supported the case of the prosecution, as a result of which he was declared hostile.”



CRM-M-58995-2023

-2-

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 07.03.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Satnam Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 07.03.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

23.05.2024

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No