

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26459-2023

Date of decision: 11.01.2024

Jitender Kumar Sangari

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

**Present: Mr. Ajit Singh Lamba, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.**

\*\*\*\*

**ARUN PALLI, J. ( Oral )**

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following  
substantive relief:-

***“Civil Writ Petition Under Article 226/227 of the  
Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate  
writ, order or direction specially in the nature of writ of  
Certiorari, Mandamus for:***

***Quashing the draw of plots (Annexure P-10), held  
on 20.06.2023 conducted by the office of Respondent  
No. 4 in a complete unfair, cryptic and illegal manner to  
favour certain private person in allotting highly  
preferentially located plots in complete disregard to  
the Land Pooling. Policy (For GMADA Area) 2020,  
Public Notice issued by respondent no.2 (Annexure P-  
7) for conducting independent and transparent draw of  
plots dated 08.06.2023, being violative of established  
principle of law.***

***And/or***

***Further issue direction specially in the nature of  
Mandamus to hold fresh draw of plots for allotment  
held on 20.06.2023 in a fair transparent and  
independent manner, in presence of all interested  
parties or their representative, in accordance with***

***principle of law and as per the Land Pooling Policy, 2020 (Annexure P-4).”***

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the respondent authorities with representations dated 22.06.2023 (P-12 and P-13), qua his concerns/grievances, followed by reminders dated 27.06.2023 (P-14 to 16). But to no avail.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. V.G. Jauhar, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, is present in Court. He submits that as the competent authority is already in seisin of the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, it would rather be expedient, if the petition at hand is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the competent authority to deal therewith, and pass necessary orders on the representation(s) submitted by the petitioner, in accordance with law. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner, if so required, shall also be afforded a hearing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by the learned State counsel, and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned State counsel submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of four weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned State counsel.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)  
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE

11.01.2024  
Ak Sharma

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |