

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

217 CRM-M-59321-2023
Date of decision:- 11.03.2024.

Suninder Kaur ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and anr. ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Legal Aid Counsel
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Mayank, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 439(2) read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. for cancellation the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. granted by the learned trial Court, Hisar vide order dated 20.10.2023 granted to the respondent Mandeep Singh Dhillon.
2. Counsel representing for the respondent No.2 has produced order dated 31.10.2023 as per which interim relief granted to the petitioner vide order dated 31.10.2023 stands affirmed and is made absolute.
3. Faced with the situation, counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to move afresh.

4. In the considered opinion of this Court, it will be apt for the petitioner to move before the same Court which has granted pre-arrest bail in view of the dictum of law laid down in ***“Himanshu Sharma Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh”*** 2024(2), R.C.R (Criminal) 69.
5. Disposed off accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

11th March, 2024
spn

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No