



CRWP-10635-2024

-1-

104

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10635-2024 (O&M)**Date of Decision: November 05, 2024**

Pawanjot Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Kapil Khanna. Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)**CRM(W)-1376-2024**

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

CRWP-10635-2024

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing respondents No.4 to 6 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners and further directing respondents No.2 and 3 not to allow/let respondents No.4 to 6 or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and lives of the petitioners or to harass them in any manner against the wishes of the petitioners or to cause any detriment to the petitioners in any manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents

No.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They



CRWP-10635-2024

-2-

apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 28.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2.

- 3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only.
- 4. On asking of the Court, Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.
- 5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Kapurthala, Punjab, to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

November 05, 2024
meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

- 1. **Whether speaking/reasoned ?** **Yes/No**
- 2. **Whether reportable ?** **Yes/No**