



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 128

CWP-29867-2024

Date of Decision:05.11.2024

Parminder Singh

..Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Madhav Pokhrel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the claim of the petitioner is that petitioner was promoted to the post of Deputy Mass Media and Information Officer w.e.f. 22.11.2011 whereas his junior has been granted the said promotion w.e.f. 06.08.2008 hence, the petitioner is also entitled for the said benefit with all consequential benefits including further promotion to the post of District Mass Media and Information Officer.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 10.05.2022 (Annexure P-5) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Shruti,AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State.



CWP-29867-2024

5. Learned counsel for the respondents-State submits that in case, the legal notice dated 10.05.2022 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents-State, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

05.11.2024
dinesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
2. Whether reportable?	Yes/No