



CRWP-10605-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRWP-10605-2024

Date of Decision:- 04.11.2024

Nishu and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Nishu and Amit have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 & 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondent No.4 as they are in live-in relationship with their own sweet will.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-1), her date of birth is 03.02.2001. On the other hand as per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2), his date of birth is 19.11.2004. Petitioners are in live-in relationship. They also moved representation to Commissioner of Police, Police Commissionerate Ludhiana dated 28.10.2024 which is Annexure P-3. Petitioners are apprehending threat from respondent No.4 who is father of petitioner No.1.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab



CRWP-10605-2024

-2-

accepts notice on behalf of the State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering the nature of litigation no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and are in live-in relationship, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondent No.4. It is duty of police to protect life of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 & 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 28.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) and considering threat perception if any, pass appropriate orders.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality of their relationship and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

04.11.2024

Sunil Devi

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No