

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

256

CWP-35951-2019 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 06.03.2024

Amar Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : None for the petitioner

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.**

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus thereby directing the respondents to grant the pensionary benefits.
2. Learned State counsel submits that the present case has been rendered infructuous in view of paras 7 and 8 of the reply dated 17.10.2023, which read thus:

“7. That as mentioned above, the pension case after completing in all respects and after removing the objections raised by the office of Accountant General, Punjab has been resubmitted the same on 17.2.2023, with the request to grant approval to the petitioner as early as possible, in order to avoid any adverse order against the department. Thereafter, the office of the Accountant General, Punjab after receiving requisite documents and after completing all necessary formalities, vide its letter No.PEN17/Intimation /22- 23/PE/23/10/80559831 dated 24.04.2023, now informed that the Pension case of SHRI AMAR SINGH S/O SHRI ANTU SINGH, BELDAR with Application No. 1022516598 and File ID 2182235140 has been finalized vide his office Authorities no.PPO: 1123035140, GPO and CPO, e-Authority have been sent to DISTRICT TREASURY OFFICER, PATIALA through iHRMS. In this regard, SHRI AMAR SINGH S/O SHRI ANTU SINGH was also informed and advised to attend the District Treasury office, Patiala to receive payment. The copy of this letter dated

24.04.2023 is attached herewith as Annexure R-6/T.  
8. That, thus the deponent/answering respondents has earnestly tried his level best to do the needful regarding the matter in question and the final decision was to be taken by the Accountant General, Punjab, which has now been taken as mentioned above vide letter Annexure R-6/T.”

3. Ordered accordingly. However, petitioner is reserved with a liberty to get it revived, if need arises.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE

06.03.2024  
M.Kamra

|                           |   |          |
|---------------------------|---|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes / No |