

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

125

2024:PHHC:144613



CWP No. 29973 of 2024 (O&M)

DECIDED ON: 06th November, 2024

Sukhchain Singh and others

.....PETITIONERs

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....RESPONDENTs

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. P.K. Saini, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Bains, AAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance which has been raised by the petitioners has already been decided by this Court while passing order in **CWP No. 15896 of 2023**, decided on 13.9.2024 titled as '**Saurabh Sharma and others Versus State of Punjab and another**', hence, the respondents are under obligation to decide the case of the petitioners in the light of the judgment in **Saurabh Sharma's case (supra)**.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners have already filed a representation raising the grievance as raised in the present petition vide representation dated 16.10.2024, (Annexure P-21) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.
3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. A.S. Bains, AAG Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice dated 16.10.2024 (Annexure P-21) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority in the light of *Saurabh Sharma's case (supra)* within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
7. Ordered accordingly.

06th November, 2024
reema

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes
Whether reportable No