



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121

CRWP-10652-2024

Date of decision: 06.11.2024

Chaman Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Ms. Divya Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7, who are threatening the petitioner and his family, and take appropriate action against respondents No.4 to 7 in accordance with law on his complaint/representation dated 13.07.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was inflicted injuries by the private respondents, who are his close relatives; a complaint/representation was also made to the official respondents, including representation (Annexure P-2) dated 13.07.2024 to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, SBS Nagar, Nawanshehar. However, till date no action had been taken against the private respondents even though there was documentary evidence in the shape of the petitioner's medico legal report. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation (Annexure P-2), decide the same in the



CRWP-10652-2024

light of *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*, and take appropriate steps to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, SBS Nagar, Nawanshehar, is directed to look into the representation of the petitioner, decide the same at the earliest and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation (Annexure P-2), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

06.11.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No