



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-11500-2023

Date of decision : 29.07.2024

PRABHJOT KAUR (MINOR) THROUGH HER MOTHER AND
ANOTHER

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Rai, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Randhawa, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for respondent Nos.5 to 14.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend a threat at the hands of respondent Nos.5 to 14 and their associates.

On 29.11.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioner No.2 is a widow and petitioner No.1 is her minor daughter of the age of 12 years. He further submitted that the petitioners have property on which there is an evil eye of the private respondents, who are the relatives and they are taking undue advantage of the fact that there is no male member in the family of the petitioners because the husband of the petitioner No.2 had died in the year 2012. He also submitted that repeatedly the private respondents have been trying to forcibly dispossess the petitioners from the property and regarding which even 4 FIRs were

**CRWP-11500-2023**

registered against them but no proper investigation has been conducted by the police. He further submitted that repeated representations were given to the various police officials but no action has been taken in this regard. He also submitted that the prayer in the present petition is for protection of their life and liberty because both of them are not in a position to counter the private respondents, who are also having political background and support and therefore, the life of both the petitioners may be directed to be protected.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4.

Let separate notice be issued to respondent Nos.5 to 14 on filing of process fee.

Considering the fact that petitioner No.2 is a widow and petitioner No.1 is her minor daughter of the age of 12 years, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala shall himself look into the aforesaid issue and immediately assess the threat perception of the petitioners.

Till the next date of hearing, appropriate security shall be provided to both the petitioners and an affidavit shall be filed by the SSP, Patiala himself on the next date of hearing.

The present order shall be communicated to the SSP today itself through ASI Paramjit Singh, who is present in the Court today for the purpose of assisting the learned State Counsel.

Adjourned to 05.12.2023.”

In pursuance to the aforementioned order, the petitioners have been provided security. Further, so far as the FIRs mentioned in the



CRWP-11500-2023

petition are concerned, the Trial is underway in three cases and in two cases under investigation.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

Needless to say that the protection granted to the petitioners shall continue till a re-assessment takes place by an officer not below the rank of SSP.

Disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

29.07.2024
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No