

(Annexure P-4) was prepared and in this way the the local police has helped the private respondents and instead of registering FIR against the private respondents, the local police has registered a false FIR against the petitioner, his wife and his son, who is mentally unstable. The in-action on the part of the Jurisdictional Police Authority has compelled the petitioner to approach this Court by filing the instant petition.

3. Learned State counsel submits the veracity of the allegations contained in representation (Annexure P-1) was thoroughly checked by Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Tapa and the allegations levelled in the representation by the petitioner were found to be false. As such, after thorough investigation and fair inquiry, the Officer concerned has recommended not to take any action against any person and the representation (Annexure P-1) was ordered to be consigned to record. Even the representation (Annexure P-6) dated 09.09.2019, was inquired into and the medico legal report of Jasbir Kaur-wife of the petitioner, was taken into consideration, but in the absence of any cognizable offence having been made out, no action was taken on the said representation made by the petitioner.

3. Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 4 to 12 and submits that the present petition is totally misconceived and has been filed as a counter blast to the FIR No. 104 dated 02.09.2019 registered against the petitioner and others, under Sections 323, 324, 34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Tapa Mandi, District Barnala.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the respondents and after perusing the record, this Court finds no ground for issuing any directions as prayed for by the petitioner in the present petition, in view of the specific stand taken by respondents No. 1 to 3, in the status report dated 15.01.2020.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

6. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to invoke his alternative remedies as may be available to him, in accordance with law for redressal of his grievances raised in the present petition.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

23.01.2024

Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No