



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CWP-29644-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.11.2024

Inderjit Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Satvir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioner seeking issuance of an appropriate writ especially in the nature of Mandamus to allow the petitioner and other Media Journalists to attend the meeting of Municipal Council, Mandi Gobindgarh as well as respondent No.2 along with other facilities of sitting etc.

After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that with regards to his grievance, the petitioner alongwith others have submitted two representations dated 17.08.2023 (Annexure P-1/colly) and he would be satisfied in case respondents are directed to consider and decide the said representations by passing a well reasoned and speaking order in a time bound manner.



Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 contends that as per his instructions, there is no such provision in the Act.

In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to submit a comprehensive representation to the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Local Government, Punjab, who shall thereafter take an *in principle* decision as to whether the media personnel are to be permitted entry to witness the proceedings of the House, within a period of 06 months of submission of such a comprehensive representation.

The present petition is accordingly **disposed of**.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

04.11.2024

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No