



145

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-29605-2024

DECIDED ON: 29.10.2024

MS SAG AUTO INDUSTRIES, FARIDABADPETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.**

Present: Mr. Rajiv Agnihotri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the rectification application was filed against the order passed in ASMT-10, however, the rectification application remained pending. In the meanwhile, limitation for filing the appeal also expired, but the petitioner filed appeal which was rejected on the ground of limitation.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that respondents ought to have decided rectification application one way or the other and the limitation would therefore start from the day the order of rectification application was passed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.

5. Considering the issue involved in the present case, we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition, with direction to the respondents to decide the rectification application pending before them as soon as possible, preferably within a period of one month henceforth.
6. After the order of the rectification application is communicated to the petitioner, he would be free to file an appeal if the order is adverse to him.
7. With the aforesaid observations, present writ petition stands disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

29.10.2024
Lavisha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>