



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(277) CWP-35629-2019 (O&M)  
Date of Decision : 01.08.2024  
Sukhdeep Singh and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

(277/2) CWP-635-2022 (O&M)  
Monisha Sharma and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Anil Rana, Advocate for  
Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners  
in CWP-35629-2019.  
  
Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioners  
in CWP-635-2022.  
  
Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.  
\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)**

1. By this common order, two writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being decided as both these petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.
2. In the present writ petitions, the grievance of the petitioners is that the petitioners are not being granted the benefit of various leaves, which are being extended to the regular employees, hence, the respondents be directed to consider the claim of the petitioners to grant appropriate relief to



the employees who are working on temporary basis.

3. Learned State counsel submits that during the pendency of the present petitions, the issue has already reached finality and an order has been passed on 17.08.2022 by which, various kinds of leave have been allowed in favour of the temporary employees, hence, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though certain kinds of leave have been mentioned but all the grievance of the petitioners has not been satisfied and liberty be given to the petitioners to approach the respondents for raising the said grievance.

5. Learned State counsel submits that in case, any representation is received at the hands of the petitioners, the same will be considered in accordance with law and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

**August 01, 2024**  
kanchan

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes*  
*Whether reportable : No*