



IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

103+237

CRWP-10506-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.10.2024

Gaurav Khatana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Vishal Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Armaan Saggar, Advocate
for respondents No. 5 and 6.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. CRM-1459-2024

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

Documents are taken on record as Annexures P-6 and P-7.

2. CRWP-10506-2024 (O&M)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his workers at the hands of respondents No. 5 to 7 and also to take legal action against respondents No. 5 to 7.

3. Reply by way of affidavit of DSP, Sub Division Shahkot, District Jalandhar (Rural) has been filed today in Court, which is taken on record. It is

2024:PHHC:168712



submitted therein and learned State counsel has argued that a detailed inquiry was conducted on the representation dated 27.10.2024 submitted by the petitioner, in which respondent No. 5 was also joined and his statement was recorded. Although, respondent No. 5 had denied to having attacked the petitioner or issuing any threat to him or his workers along with some other persons, however, still, the petitioner and his workers were provided police protection in order to protect their life and liberty. It is further submitted that if the petitioner or his workers requires any police protection even in future, the same will be provided to them.

4. In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that this petition may be disposed of by issuing a direction to the official respondents to provide police protection to the petitioner and his workers, in case they apprehend any danger to their life any liberty in future.

5. Keeping in view the submissions made by learned State counsel as well as the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to the official respondents that in case any application or intimation is received from the petitioner alleging any threat to the life and liberty of himself or his workers, the official respondents, after examining the same, will provide them adequate police protection, if so required.

17.10.2024

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No