

CRM-M-58647-2023

224 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-58647-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 05.01.2024

AMAN @ AMANDEEP SINGH

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Angrej Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Kapoor, AAG Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Through instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 37 dated 24.06.2023 registered under Sections 354/34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Bhindi Saidan, District Amritsar Rural.
2. On 21.11.2023, following order was passed:

“1. Present petition is under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.37 dated 24.06.2023 registered under Sections 354/34 IPC, 1860 at Police Station Bhindi Saidan, District Amritsar Rural.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the allegations, the petitioner along with the co-accused had outraged the modesty of the complainant. In fact, it is a case of version and cross-version. The mother of the petitioner had submitted a complaint dated 15.06.2023 (Annexure P-4) to the Officer-in-charge, Police Station Bhindi Saidan against the husband of the complainant and others. Furthermore, the father of the complainant has been arraigned as an accused in a murder case of the family

member of the petitioner and the trial is still pending. The co-accused, namely, Gurmeet Singh, Gurpreet Singh and Satnam Singh have been granted concession of anticipatory bail. Satnam Singh, co-accused is the brother of the petitioner.

3. *Notice of motion.*
4. *Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.*
5. *Adjourned to 05.01.2024.*
6. *Meanwhile, it is directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioner be admitted on interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer subject to the condition that he joins the investigation and comply with other conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ranjeet Singh, submits that in compliance of order dated 21.11.2023 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.
4. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State Counsel the order dated 21.11.2023, is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.
5. The petition is accordingly allowed.

05.01.2024
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No