



227

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-30267-2018 in/and
CRR-2881-2018
Date of decision: 25.07.2024

Naresh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Lokesh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The present revision petition has been filed for setting aside the order dated 21.03.2017 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak, vide which application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. moved by the complainant/petitioner has been dismissed.

The learned State counsel, at the very outset, on instructions from ASI Nirmala, submits that the present revision petition has become infructuous as the trial has concluded on 23.05.2022 and as such, in view of the ratio of law passed in '*Sukhpal Singh Khaira Vs. The State of Punjab*' (2023) 1 SCC 289, powers under Section 319 Cr.P.C. cannot be invoked, after the conclusion of trial.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

25.07.2024

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No