



133

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10512-2024

Date of Decision:- 29.10.2024

Gurvinder Kaur & another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Kalsi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Gurvinder Kaur and Chamkaur Singh have filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondent No. 4, who is brother of petitioner No. 1.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1, Annexure P-1, her year of birth is 1992. On the other hand, as per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2, Annexure P-2, his date of birth is 17.07.1992. They had performed marriage on 23.10.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage issued by Gurudwara Shri Guru Arjan Dev Ji (Bohri Sahib), village Bhujranwala, District Tarn Taran is Annexure P-3 and photographs regarding performing of their marriage are Annexure P-4. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, which is Annexure P-5. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wish of brother of petitioner No. 1 and as such they are

receiving threats from respondent No. 4.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.
4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.
5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.
6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wish of brother of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hand of respondent No. 4. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 27.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hand of respondent No. 4, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.
7. Since, respondent No. 4 is resident of Rajasthan, so whenever there is any apprehension to petitioners from respondent No. 4 on his arrival at village Sohal Thathi, Tehsil and District Tarn Taran (Punjab), then they will intimate to respondents No. 2 and 3 and their life and liberty will be protected.
8. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
9. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

29.10.2024

lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No