

In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana At Chandigarh

CRM-M-54305-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-18.11.2024

Raghuvir Singh @ Rammi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Baltej Singh Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Chandan Singh and Robin Singh Bhullar, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Attri, AAG., Punjab.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Under Section/s
125	01.08.2024	Lambi, District Sri Muktsar Sahib	15 (c), 25, 29, 61, 85 of NDPS Act

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in respect of the
aforementioned FIR.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion, the following order was passed
on 29.10.2024:

“The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a
case registered against him vide FIR No. 125, dated 01.08.2024,
Police Station Lambi, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, under
Sections 15(c), 25, 29, 61, 85 of NDPS Act.

As per the case of prosecution one Jagpreet Singh was
apprehended by the police who was found in possession of 70
Kgs of ‘Poppy Husk’. It is further the case of prosecution that
upon interrogation he stated that he along with Raghbir Singh
and Karampal Singh had brought the ‘Poppy Husk’ from



Rajasthan and that Raghbir Singh and Karampal had given him their vehicle for getting 'Poppy Husk'. He further stated that Raghbir Singh and Karampal Singh were moving ahead of him in their Ritz car.


Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is nowhere named in the FIR and came to be nominated as an accused after about one week of the alleged recovery on the basis of disclosure statement allegedly made by Jagpreet Singh the admissibility and veracity of which would be debatable.

Notice of motion for 18.11.2024.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

State to file a detailed reply on or before the next date of hearing. ”

3. Learned State counsel, upon instructions from the Investigating Officer, has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court on 29.10.2024, the petitioner has since joined investigation and he is not required for any custodial interrogation.
4. Having regard to the aforestated position, wherein the petitioner is stated to have joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 29.10.2024 are hereby made absolute, subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so



and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

18.11.2024
archana

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No