

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-58733-2023
Date of Decision.:16.01.2024

Narender Pal @ Bablu
Vs.
State of Punjab

.....Petitioner
.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Amitabh Tewari, Advocate with
Mr. Ishan Puri, Advocate for
the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate with
Mr. Rohit Gupta, Advocate for
the complainant.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

On 21.11.2023, following order was passed:-

“This is a petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.118 dated 27.10.2023, under Sections 457, 380, 447, 427 IPC, registered at Police Station City Samana, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is a contractor, who had been engaged by the Managing Director of Herman Milkfoods Ltd., namely Virsa Singh Sidhu. It is submitted that on account of inter se dispute amongst the Directors of the company, various proceedings emanating therefrom are pending before different forums. It is further submitted that petitioner is engaged in the business of dismantling buildings and for the said

purpose, he used to hire the required equipment/machinery from R.K. Constructions.

At this stage, Mr. Amar Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has filed his Power of Attorney, which is taken on record.

On advance notice, Mr. Gurvinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent-State and seeks time to file reply/status report.

List on 14.12.2023.

In the meanwhile, no coercive steps be taken against the petitioner till the next date.”

Status report by way of an affidavit of Ms. Neha Aggarwal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Samana, District Patiala has been filed on behalf of respondent- State. As it emerges that the only attribution to the petitioner is to have demolished the building of the complainant.

Learned State counsel concedes that no custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required for that purpose.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, it is directed that in the event of arrest of the petitioner, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with

the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

January 16, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No