



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10508-2024

Date of Decision:- 05.11.2024

ISHA AND ANR

....Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Gyan Parkash Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Isha and Ajay Kumar have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1, Annexure P-1, her date of birth is 23.10.2002. On the other hand, as per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 2, Annexure P-2, his date of birth is 25.06.1998. Petitioner No. 1 is already married with Salman s/o Rajudeen and one child is born out of this wedlock. Whereas, petitioner No. 2 is unmarried. Petitioners are in live-in relationship for more than two years and they both decided to live together. However, they are apprehending threat to their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6, who are husband, brother-in-law and mother-in-law of petitioner No. 1.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of official respondents-State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. Learned counsel representing State has placed on record copy of statements of petitioners No. 1 and 2 recorded in vernacular, alleging that now both petitioners have not received any threat and they are feeling safe.

Considering the aforesaid statements of petitioners, as far now, there is no threat perception to the life of petitioners. However, official respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to dispose of representation given by petitioners dated 22.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) as per law and to take appropriate steps, considering threat perception.

7. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

05.11.2024
lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No