

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

299

CRM-M-58407-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.04.2024

HARMAN

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present : Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Dinesh Maurya, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)

[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of FIR No.72 dated 20.09.2021, under Sections 323, 498-A, 406, 506 IPC registered at Women Police Station Assandh, District Karnal (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise deed dated 27.02.2023 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the FIR was registered at the instance of respondent No.2 on account of a matrimonial dispute between the parties. He further contends that the matter has been settled between the petitioner and respondent No.2 and compromise deed dated 27.02.2023 (Annexure P-2) has been executed between them. He submits that the

matrimonial ties between the petitioner No.1-husband and respondent No.2-wife has been dissolved and a decree of divorce dated 27.02.2024 has already been passed by the Family Court, Assandh. He further submits that as per the compromise (Annexure P-2), the petitioner No.1-husband has already paid a sum of Rs.20,50,000/- to respondent No.2-wife as permanent alimony, as such, respondent No.2 does not want to take any action in the present FIR.

[3] Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has confirmed the factum of compromise between the parties.

[4] Learned State counsel has not raised any objection regarding the acceptance of the present petition.

[5] On 07.12.2023, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements regarding the compromise.

[6] As per the report dated 02.02.2024, received from the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Assandh through the District & Sessions Judge, Karnal, compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

[7] Since the matter has been settled between the parties and the parties have buried the hatchet and given quietus to the matter and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and in view of the ratio of the decision of Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab (SC) 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and the decision of Hon'ble the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the ends of justice would meet if the FIR and consequential proceedings are quashed by accepting the compromise between the parties.

[8] Consequently, this petition is allowed and FIR No.72 dated 20.09.2021, under Sections 323, 498-A, 406, 506 IPC registered at Women Police Station Assandh, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioner.

[9] However, the respondent No.2 and the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of this order, in case the final terms and conditions of compromise (Annexure P-2) are violated.

[10] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

08.04.2024

P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No