

[213] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-57912-2023
Date of Decision : 14.02.2024

Arshdeep Singh @ Arsh ...Petitioner
versus
State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present : Mr. Shivam Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 17.11.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. Shivam Joshi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Prayer in this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.141, dated 06.10.2023, registered at Police Station Chattiwind, District Amritsar Rural, under Sections 323, 324, 326 and 34 IPC.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the only role attributed to the petitioner is that he gave a blow with datar from its reverse side on the back of Charan Singh, father of the complainant Kuldeep Singh. Learned counsel further contends that the injury attracting Section 326 IPC is attributed to co-accused Manpreet Singh and not to the petitioner. He further contends that even Manpreet Singh also sustained grievous injury. In this regard, learned counsel has placed on record the medical opinion in support of his contentions.

Notice of motion.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A copy of paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Adjourned to 29.01.2024, for filing status report.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation and co-operate in the same. He will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the

complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 17.11.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 17.11.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

14.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No