

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2024:PHHC:056233-DB
CRWP-11142-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.04.2024.

Sukhwinder Singh @ Sonu
State of Punjab and others

Vs.

...Petitioner
...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present

Mr. Ashadveer Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Rajpreet S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Arjun Sheoran, DAG, Punjab.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner by way of this petition has prayed for grant of eight weeks parole.
2. Learned counsel for the State has placed on record certificate dated 01.04.2024, issued by the Medical Officer, Central Jail, Ludhiana, wherein, it is stated that the patient was referred to PGIMER, Chandigarh on 21.03.2024, 29.03.2024 and 01.04.2024 for further evaluation of ocular pathology and vitals and general conditions of the patient is stable and he is taking treatment as advised by the doctors of PGIMER, Chandigarh.
3. Keeping in view the fact that best treatment is being provided to the petitioner from PGIMER, Chandigarh, we are not inclined to grant parole to the petitioner at this stage.
4. In view thereof, the criminal writ petition is dismissed.
5. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

25.04.2024.
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No