

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 11.11.2024

...Respondent

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

2. The FIR in the present case was registered on the basis of the statement made by Deepak Kumar son of late Vijay Kumar. As per the FIR, on 24.05.2024, the complainant alongwith Raj Kumar @ Raj Kumar were going on a motorcycle for collecting the money as the complainant is working as a property dealer. When they reached near Dara Studio, Shokat Ali, Sandeep Bhullar, Pawan and Dadu, who were previously known to the complainant, came there and were armed with kirpans and rods. The present petitioner had



given a blow with rod on the left leg of the complainant and thereafter the motorcycle of the complainant had fallen on the ground. In the meantime, Shokat Ali also took the knife and gave blows with knife on the back of Raj Kumar also. With these broad allegations, the FIR in the present case was got registered by the complainant.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the present case, the injured has already been discharged from the hospital and is hale and hearty. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 04.10.2024 and the investigation is almost complete against him. He further submits that co-accused, namely, Shokat Ali has already been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 01.10.2024 (Annexure P-4) passed in CRM-M-4826-2024 and concession of interim anticipatory bail has also been granted to Sandeep Singh, vide order Annexure P-3.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and the petitioner is not entitled for the concession of regular bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. The petitioner is in custody since 04.10.2024 and the recovery have already been effected from him. Moreover, the injured has already been discharged in the present case and all the offences except the offence under Section 379-B IPC are bailable in nature. Similarly place, co-accused have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide orders Annexures



P-3 and P-4. Moreover, the conclusion of the trial may take quite a long time and no purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

7. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned.

11.11.2024.
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No