



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10756-2024
Date of decision: 08.11.2024

Satwinder Siingh and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. A.S. Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that petitioner purchased certain agricultural land from respondents No.4 and 5 vide sale deed dated 08.05.2024 and possession of the land so sold was also handed over to the present petitioner by the vendors and now the petitioner is in exclusive possession of the land in question being its owner. However, the aforesaid vendor in collusion with respondents No.6 and 7 who are known criminals, is trying to interfere in his possession over the land in question. That civil litigation with regard to aforesaid property is pending between the parties wherein the Court concerned has directed the parties maintain status quo vide order Annexure P-1. That despite the passing of the said order, respondents No.4 to 7 are trying to take forcible possession of the land in question and have threatened to cause physical harm to the petitioner. That in this regard, petitioner has already given his representation dated 22.10.2024 Annexure P-4 to respondent No.2 but the police authorities failed to take any action against the aforesaid private respondents.



2. Notice of motion.
3. Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and submitted that in case any complaint/representation(s) has been filed, the concerned authorities will consider and decide the same as per law, at the earliest.
4. In view of above and without going into the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation dated 22.10.2024 (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and in case, there is a threat perception then to take necessary steps in accordance with law.
5. However, it is made clear that any observation made herein above is having no bearing on the civil litigation which is going on between the parties.

08.11.2024
Yogesh

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No