



137

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10524-2024

Date of decision : 29.10.2024

Aastha and another**.....Petitioners**

versus

State of Haryana and others**.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition is for directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to safeguard the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 & 5. Further prayer has been made to direct respondents No.4 & 5 not to indulge in any illegal activities or not to interfere in the life of the petitioners and official respondents be directed not to take any action or to implicate the petitioners in any criminal case at the behest of respondents No.4 & 5 and to provide immediate protection to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 and 5. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 23.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) before the Superintendent of Police, Ambala for the redressal of their claim and the same has not yet been decided.



3. Notice of motion.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.-2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Ambala to decide the representation dated 23.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.
6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

29.10.2024
ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No