



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CWP-29477-2024

Date of decision: 29.10.2024

SAGAR RATNA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Mohak Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed for seeking directions to respondent-State to comply with Section 74 (1) & Section 37 of Consumer Protection Act, 2019 read with Rule 3 of Consumer Protection (Mediation) Rules, 2020 and other provisions regarding mediation/settlement in proceedings before the District Commission in stricto sensu and a further prayer to set aside the order dated 05.03.2024 passed by the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Panchkula, Haryana.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that there are twin issues. One pertaining to lack of mediation facilities in the Consumer Courts and the second is a grievance which emanates from the defence of the petitioner being struck off.

Since there is an efficacious remedy against an order



CWP-29477-2024

-2-

passed by the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission under Section 41 of the Consumer Protection Act, 2019. He does not press the instant writ petition so as to avail alternative remedy. He further prays that he may be granted liberty to file a fresh petition restricted only to the cause of establishment of Mediation Cells in the Consumer Courts. Liberty to the said extent is granted.

Disposed of as not pressed with liberty as aforesaid.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 29, 2024
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No