



of respondent No.2 and filed power of attorney. Same is taken on record. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 admits the factum of compromise.

Service is complete.

In the meantime, Parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within a period of two weeks or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of the compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of this order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.115 dated 30.07.2022, registered under Sections 406 and 498-A of Indian Penal Code at Police Station City Khanna, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

November 28, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |