



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-54294-2024

Date of Decision : 04.12.2024

RAJAT

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Sumit Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Ashish Grewal, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 29.10.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“This is second petition for grant of anticipatory bail, filed under Section 482 of BNSS of 2023, in case FIR No. 763 dated 8.8.2024, under Sections 191(3), 190, 115, 324(4), 109 of BNS and Sections 25,54,59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Yamuna Nagar City, District Yamuna Nagar. The earlier petition preferred by the present petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty to re-access this Court, on the same cause of action with better particulars, therefore, the second petition is maintainable.

In asking for the relief (supra), the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is co-equal pedestal to Sunil Verma and Lovish, who have been granted the relief of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 9.9.2024 passed in CRM-M-44242-2024, and order dated 25.9.2024 passed in CRM-M-48241-2024, respectively.

Notice of motion for 4.12.2024.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. AG, Haryana waives service on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall, however, join the investigation as and when called

for and shall also abide by the conditions specified under Section 482(2) of the Cr.P.C. ”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 29.10.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

December 04, 2024

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No