



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54629-2024

Date of Decision : November 18, 2024

SUREKHA @ REKHA AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. H.S.Rakhra, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On 31.10.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to them in case FIR No.135, dated 09.09.2024 registered under Sections 331(4), 305, 317(2) of BNS of 2023, at Police Station City Khanna, District Khanna.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance on the interim order dated 21.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) passed by this Court, whereby the concession of interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the co-accused, namely, Parkasho alias Billo.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

To be heard along with CRM-M-52024-2024 on 18.11.2024.

In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, they shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 31.10.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

November 18, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No