

Subsequently, the name of the petitioners have also been added as accused in the instant case. The son of the petitioners is residing in Canada. The petitioners had no role in withdrawal of the application submitted by their son for issuance of spouse Visa to the complainant.

3. *Notice of motion.*
4. *Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.*
5. *Mr. Amaninder Singh Sekhon, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed Vakalatnama. He has opposed the bail application on the score that the recovery of articles of Istri Dhan is to be effected from the petitioners.*
6. *Adjourned to 05.01.2024.*
7. *Meanwhile, it is directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioners be admitted on interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer subject to the condition that they join the investigation and comply with other conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kahan Singh, submits that in compliance of order dated 21.11.2023 passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation and are not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State Counsel the order dated 21.11.2023, is made absolute. The petitioners shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

5. The petition is accordingly allowed.

6. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and trial Court shall proceed without being prejudiced by observations of this Court.

January 05, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE