

2024:PHHC:165923



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CRM-M-54094 of 2024
Date of decision: 11.12.2024

SANDEEP SINGH ALIAS SUNNY

..PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

..RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Vivek Salathia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Inderjit Singh Ladher, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Shubham Mehta, Advocate for the complainant.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 483 BNSS seeking grant of regular bail in a criminal case having FIR No 0124, dated 26.09.2024, under Sections 109, 125, 351(3) BNS, 2023 and Section 25/27 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran.

Counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that the case involves version as well as the cross version and the petitioner is accused in the main FIR case and is in custody since 30.09.2024. It is further submitted that at the time of occurrence, the petitioner also sustained injuries at the hands of other party and now the matter has been compromised and the parties have filed compromise quashing petitions wherein the parties have directed to get record their statements as is evident from Annexure P-4. It is further submitted that no purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner in custody and further the petitioner is having no criminal antecedents. The present petition

is resisted by the State counsel who submits that the petitioner is accused in the main case while the petitioner is complainant in the cross version case. The State counsel further submits that investigation is still going on and the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 2 months and 10 days and it will take time for the police to present the challan.

Mr. Shubham Mehta, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has admitted factum of compromise which is effected between the parties and further submits and pleaded no objection, if the present petition is allowed.

Admittedly, the case involves version as well as cross version and even the complainant also sustained injuries at the hands of opposite party. The aggressor party will be ascertained during trial. Further, it appears that now the matter has been compromised between the parties. In the given circumstances, no purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any longer period.

In light of the above without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

December 11, 2024
Poonam Sharma

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No