

110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-29358-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.10.2024

SML LABELS (INDIA) PRIVATE LIMITED

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present Mr. Pranay Chitale, Advocate (*through VC*) and
Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate
for the applicant/petitioner.

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. The impugned order dated 28.12.2023 was appealable in terms of section 107 of the GST Act, 2017. However, the petitioner did not file an appeal against the said order.
2. Without availing the remedy available to the petitioner, he has directly approached this Court and that too after a period of almost 10 months.
3. Writ Petition is dismissed accordingly.
4. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

October 28, 2024

Mohit goyal

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |