



140

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10455-2024

Date of Decision:- 28.10.2024

Kuldeep Kaur and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Kirat Pal Dhaliwal, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Kuldeep Kaur and Manpreet Singh Lotey have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No.3 and 4.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-1), her year of birth is 1993. On the other hand, as per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2), his date of birth is 25.01.1991. They had performed marriage on 29.05.2023. Copy of certificate of marriage is Annexure P-3. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, District Barnala dated 04.10.2024, which is Annexure P-4. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of parents of petitioner No.1. Respondents No.3 & 4 are parents of petitioner No.1 from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

**CRWP-10455-2024****-2-**

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.
4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.
5. At this stage, learned counsel representing official respondent No.2 pointed out that police has already recorded statements of Malkeet Kaur and Bhadur Singh along with their affidavits that they have no objection to the marriage of their daughter Kuldeep Kaur with Manpreet Singh and they will not interfere in their married life.
6. In view of the aforesaid factual position, learned counsel for petitioners states that he has instructions to withdraw the present petition.
7. In view of the statement, present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn. However, it is made clear that in case present petitioners have any threat perception from the hands of respondents No.3 & 4, they may approach respondent No.2 who will decide their grievance, considering threat perception.
8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

28.10.2024*sunil devi*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No