

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53739-2024

Date of decision: 28.10.2024

Rubal Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. V.B. Godara, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of impugned order dated 30.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib in FIR No.201 dated 28.11.2018 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 376/506 of IPC registered at Police Station City Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently in the memo of parties, the State of Haryana has been impleaded as respondent, whereas, FIR (*supra*) was registered in Sri Muktsar Sahib and the relevant party would be State of Punjab.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh petition with better particulars as inadvertently typographical mistake has been crept in.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

28.10.2024
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No