

[210] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-57369-2023

Date of Decision : 12.01.2024

Khushwinder Singh ...Petitioner

versus

State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 15.11.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

*“Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.121 dated 12.08.2023, registered under Sections 307, 379-B, 364, 341, 323, 148, 149, 506 and 120-B IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Jaito, District Faridkot.

It is submitted by learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties. The parties are in the process of filing a petition for quashing of the FIR, on the basis of compromise, as well. Therefore, the petitioner deserves to be protected against his arrest.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Sandeep Singh, Addl. A. G. Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Mr. Kanishk, Advocate, puts in appearance and files his power of attorney on behalf of the complainant, which is taken on record.

Counsel for the complainant has not disputed, at this stage, that the parties have settled the dispute, as such.

Adjourned to 12.01.2024.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail, subject to his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

[2] Today, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 15.11.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 15.11.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

12.01.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No