



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(125)

CWP No. 29486 of 2024
Date of Decision : 29.10.2024

Sarabjit Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Fateh Singh Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioners is that they are entitled for the minimum of the pay scale along with Dearness Allowance keeping in view the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *State of Punjab and others Vs. Jagjit Singh*, 2016 (4) SCT 641, which benefit is not being given to the petitioners and that too without any valid justification.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 01.07.2024 (Annexure P-8) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.
3. Notice of motion.



4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 01.07.2024 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

October 29, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No