

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

272

CRM-M-53627-2024
Date of Decision: 26.11.2024

Sunil Kumar @ Sheela and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Anish Sharma, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

 Mr. Akshay Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

 Mr. Mukesh Sharma, Advocate
 for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
33	04.04.2024	City Rampura Distt. Bathinda	380/457 IPC

The petitioner arraigned as accused in the FIR captioned above, has come up before this Court for quashing of FIR by filing the present petition under Section 528 BNSS 2023.

2. In compliance to the order dated 25.10.2024, the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phul, has sent the report and observed as under:-

Question of this Court	Answer from the concerned Court
Whether the court is satisfied with the genuineness of the compromise?	No, as the compromise has been effected only between complainant/respondent No.2 and three accused/petitioners and the other victims/aggrieved persons/complainants in this case are not party to the said compromise

3. At this stage, petitioners’ counsel seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh.

4. Given above, petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. It is clarified that if the petitioner applies for copy of the report of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phul, the concerned Court shall supply the same to the petitioner.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

26.11.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable?	No