



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10373-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 06.11.2024

KARAMVEER SINGH & ANR.

....Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Lakhwinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Karamveer Singh and Amanpreet Kaur have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 8, who are parents, uncle and cousin brothers of petitioner No.2.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 07.02.1999. Copy of his Aadhar card is Annexure P-1. Date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 12.01.2002. Copy of her Aadhar card is Annexure P-2. They had performed marriage on 23.10.2024. Copy of their marriage photographs and certificate are Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, District Mansa which is Annexure P-5. Petitioners had performed marriage without the consent and wishes of parents, uncle and cousin brothers of petitioner No.2, from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.
4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.
5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.
6. I have considered facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against the wishes of parents, uncle and cousin brothers of petitioner No.2, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 8. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondent Nos.2 and 3 are directed to consider the representation of petitioners dated 23.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 8, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.
7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.
9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

06.11.2024
snd

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable: Yes/No