

[213-2] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-57286-2023
Date of Decision : 18.01.2024

Vinod Kumar ...Petitioner
versus
State of HaryanaRespondent

Coram : **HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**
Present : Mr. Manish Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 14.11.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present:- Mr. Manish Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.

CRM-47770-2023

*Exemption, as prayed for, is granted.
Application is allowed.*

Main case

*Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the
Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking pre-arrest bail in:-*

<i>FIR No.</i>	<i>Dated</i>	<i>Police Station</i>	<i>Section(s)</i>
287	25.07.2023	Sadar, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh	387, 506 and 120-B, IPC

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner and complainant are related to each other and a Civil Suit, pertaining to the property in dispute, is pending between them. Counsel submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that he had forcibly put a lock on the property. He submits that although there is an allegation of forgery against petitioner’s father, but the petitioner is not alleged to be a party to the alleged fraud. Reliance has been placed by him upon order, Annexure P-3, whereby co-accused has been granted interim protection by this Court.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Munish Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent.

List on 18.01.2024.

Petitioner is directed to join the investigation on 22.11.2023 at 10:00 A.M. at Police Station Sadar Narnaul, District Mahendergarh and co-operate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

To be heard with CRM-M-55178-2023. ”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 14.11.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 14.11.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

18.01.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No