

**2024:PHHC:003771**

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**107**

**CWP-26399-2023  
Date of Decision: 12.01.2024**

**MAMTA RANI**

... Petitioner

VERSUS

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 21.08.2023 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.3, whereby respondent No.4 has been reinstated in service despite the pendency of the departmental inquiry proceedings against him.

After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal so as to represent against the abovesaid order to the Director General of Police, Punjab as per the provisions enshrined in the Punjab Police Service Rules against the abovesaid order. He, however, contends that the departmental proceedings initiated against respondent No.4 have not yet been finalized by the respondent-Authorities even though a period of more than 7 months has been elapsed.

Disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty as aforesaid.

Besides, the respondent-Department is also directed to take expeditious steps for conclusion of the pending departmental proceedings on the allegations levelled by the petitioner against respondent No.4.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE**

**JANUARY 12, 2024**  
*rajender*

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable : Yes/No*