



CRM-M-55167-2024 (O&M)

-1-

238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-55167-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.12.2024

RAHUL VERMA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Anoop Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana.

Ms. Bharti Yadav, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Harpreet Singh Brar J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.') (now Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023) seeking quashing of FIR No.325 dated 06.12.2021 under Sections 323, 34, 354-A, 354-C, 406, 498-A, 506, 509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station New Colony, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 08.10.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 07.11.2024:

"This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.') (now Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023) seeking quashing of FIR No.325 dated 06.12.2021 under Sections 323, 34, 354-A, 354-C, 406, 498-A, 506, 509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station New Colony, District Gurugram



CRM-M-55167-2024 (O&M)

-2-

(Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 08.10.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 10.12.2024.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Ms. Bharti Yadav, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files her Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during course of the day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of this order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834** and **Shakuntala**

CRM-M-55167-2024 (O&M)

-3-

Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.325 dated 06.12.2021 under Sections 323, 34, 354-A, 354-C, 406, 498-A, 506, 509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station New Colony, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioner.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

JUDGE

10.12.2024

Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No