

2024:PHHC:143732



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

229

CRM M-53711 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.11.2024

Gurdeep Singh		...Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Punjab		... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. A.P.S. Tung, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant a regular bail in case FIR No.0233 dated 23.08.2023 registered under Sections 420 and 120-B of IPC (Sections 465, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC added later on at Police Station Civil Lines Bathinda, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had no concern or connection with the co-accused, which have been mentioned by the complainant in the present case. The petitioner was only working as a care taker of the guest house of the

CRM M-53711 of 2024 (O&M)

company, at Amritsar. He further contends that even he was neither the director nor any other office bearer of Kim Infrastructure and Developers Limited, nor he had any concern with the day to day affairs of the Company. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 15th December 2023 and is in custody since then. He also referred to the order (Annexure P-5) passed by this Court, whereby, the concession of bail has been granted to Jaspal Singh @ Jaspal Singh Gill, maternal uncle of Ravinder Singh Sidhu, main accused. He further contends that the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented against him.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and the petitioner is not entitled for the concession of regular bail.

4. I have heard the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, the petitioner was not initially named as an accused in the FIR. He is neither the Director of the Kim Infrastructure and Developers Limited nor he was holding any other post in the company. Moreover, co-accused Harpreet Kaur and Jaspal Singh @ Jaspal Singh Gill have already been admitted to bail by this Court. Thus, no purpose will be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars.

CRM M-53711 of 2024 (O&M)

6. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned.

05.11.2024

amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No