



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53772-2024
Date of Decision : 02.12.2024

SANDEEP ALIAS PITTAR
.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA
.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Kuldeep Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 28.10.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.393 dated 28.11.2023, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 285, 323, 325, 427, 435, 447, 506, 307 IPC and under Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Kasola, District Rewari.

At the very outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Annexure P-3 has been inadvertently made part of the instant petition and the same may not be read as a part of the instant petition.

The oral request of the learned counsel for the petitioner is accepted.

In the asking for the relief (supra), he submits that there is no specific injury attributed to the present petitioner and a cross FIR No.397 dated 30.11.2023 under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 325, 427 and 506 IPC, has been registered at Police Station Kasola, against the complainant party. He further submits that the main accused, namely, Rakesh Chugh and Rakesh Kumar have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by the Hon’ble Supreme Court. The other co-accused has also been enlarged on regular bail. He also submits that the petitioner is on co-equal pedestal with co-accused Sajjan Singh,

who has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide Annexure P-2.

Notice of motion for 2.12.2024.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl.AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation, and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall, however, join the investigation as and when called for and shall also abide by the conditions specified under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023. ”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 28.10.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

December 02, 2024

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No